

VILLAGE OF ANTIOCH
BOARD OF TRUSTEES, COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE MEETING
Municipal Building: 874 Main Street, Antioch, IL
June 6, 2005

CALL TO ORDER

Mayor Larson called the committee of the whole meeting of the Board of Trustees to order at 6:33 PM in the Municipal Building: 874 Main Street, Antioch, IL.

ROLL CALL

Following the Pledge of Allegiance, roll call indicated the following Trustees were present: Pierce, Porch and Turner. Also present were Mayor Larson, Attorney Magna and Clerk Rowe.

APPLIED TECHNOLOGIES

Mr. Jim Smith and Mr. Frank Tiefert from Applied Technologies reviewed their power point presentation regarding the Wastewater Treatment Facilities plan. Mr. Smith and Mr. Tiefert discussed the key elements regarding this plan, the IEPA critical review list that is published every quarter, the facilities planning area, the population and flows, existing treatment plant, alternatives and evaluations, project benefits and the proposed project schedule. Key elements are: IEPA requirement for facility expansion; first step in the process (design, construction); identifies most cost effective alternative; 20 year planning period (2025); capacity for future growth and meets water quality standards.

Trustee McCarty arrived at 6:40 PM.

Trustee Caulfield arrived at 6:43 PM.

Mr. Smith said the Village of Antioch is on the IEPA's critical review list and that we have about 612-population equivalent left for the plant. He said that is about 150 to 175 homes that you can add on this plant. When a plant gets to about 80% of capacity that is when it would be listed on the IEPA's critical review list. Basically, 150-175 homes can be added, after that, we would go on a restricted status, which means no more growth. The IEPA would shut down any extension. Mr. Tiefert said the existing treatment plant is 50 years old with the last upgrade being done 13 years ago. The plant has a two-stage activated sludge process and discharges to Sequoit Creek.

Trustee Hanson arrived at 7:05 PM.

Mr. Smith discussed the wastewater treatment alternatives that included 1A) rehabilitate the existing treatment facility, 1B) new treatment facility on the existing site, 2) construct new treatment facility at a new site, and 3) regionalization, pump to the Petite Road lift station. The project, if approved, would take approximately two and half years to complete. He said when comparing factors involved, 1B was the best alternative. Mr. Smith briefly discussed the project benefits which include increased capacity, new facility (reliable, efficient and automated), reduced O&M costs, reduced hazardous chemicals, reduced odor potential and improved appearance.

Comment – Trustee Caulfield

Trustee Caulfield asked about the reduced odor versus elimination of odor. He asked what measuring device is used. Mr. Jim Smith said that he wouldn't say that a plant never has odor, but quite frankly there are a lot of new plants out there that you wouldn't know were treatment plants. There are a lot of facilities that can have odor causing areas, one being the screening facility, clarifiers. Mr. Tiefert said as designers we become more sensitive over the years to things that cause odors and we are quite careful to eliminate dead spots in the system.

Trustee Caulfield said the two treatment plant facilities that were shown in their presentation were brick. He asked what brick would cost. Mr. Smith said the plant done in Burlington, they were doing it in concrete block and the city wanted brick veneer. At that time, the brick façade cost \$45,000 to \$50,000 for the 15 million dollar project. The second building shown was a steel fabricated building with a brick facade.

Comment – Trustee McCarty

Trustee McCarty asked if the buildings that were shown were primarily the administration and maintenance building; what is shown in the foreground will not change and that wouldn't change with any of the alternatives proposed unless we regionalize it down Route 59. Mr. Smith said that was correct.

Comment – Trustee Pierce

Trustee Pierce asked what the difference between Burlington and Antioch population is. He asked why we would upgrade to 2,000,000 gpd, and not bigger. Mr. Tiefert said the defined area of the Antioch FPA is regulated by NIPC and the IEPA. Mr. Smith explained the proposed site plan and showed there is room to add another third beyond what was shown including another final clarifier. Trustee Pierce said one of the biggest issues he heard is time difference and he asked if we do this on current site, would it be done sooner. He said we would have the IEPA happier as well as developers so we can utilize our new plant sooner. Trustee Pierce said maybe we should look further into the future and possibly go off-site so we can expand and work with the IEPA. Mr. Jim Smith said that there is a time factor to go to a new site, but that would mean a new actual discharge location. He discussed a situation in Richmond where they have to pump the effluent all the way back to their existing plant.

Mayor Larson

Mayor Larson asked if we were to go with a new site, would we not still have to use part of that land to divert it. She asked if we could reclaim the former site for other uses, such as a park. She said that she recalled an earlier conversation where she was told we wouldn't be able to do that. Mr. Jim Smith said that we would have to have a pump station and equalization lagoon there. Mayor Larson asked if that would take about one-quarter of a site. Mr. Smith said it could be less than that. Mayor Larson said that we could reclaim three-quarters of the site if we chose to relocate the plant.

Comment – Trustee Pierce

Trustee Pierce said with redevelopment of the site, he didn't think the pumping station would be big. Frank Tiefert said the size of a pumping station is about 30' x 30'.

Comment Trustee Porch

Trustee Porch said that we're looking at a 25% increase and asked what the additional PE would be. Mr. Jim Smith said the additional would be approximately 4,000 to 5,000. Trustee Porch said that she agreed with Trustee Pierce's comment that we're limiting ourselves and asked if it would be more cost effective if we were to go to a larger facility than having to expand again in the future. Mr. Jim Smith said the problem is trying to get that by with IEPA and NIPC because they have the population control. Trustee Porch said that we're not allowed to have too much PE. Mr. Smith said that was correct. Trustee Porch asked if the new system would be one stage as compared to our current two-stage system. Mr. Smith indicated that was correct. Trustee Porch asked why the second alternative was rated third on the non-monetary evaluation. She asked if this was based on time. Mr. Frank Tiefert said there are many categories involved in the determination, such as less disruptive, construction difficulty and operation during construction. He said that during the entire construction operation, the treatment plant must continue to run. Trustee Porch asked if there was a way to increase the PE during the next two years. Mr. Jim Smith said there are always some negotiations with the IEPA. If they see we are moving forward on the project, especially if we continue to meet the discharge permits they may be more amenable. He said, the IEPA may allow more on paper as long as they see we're moving ahead.

Comment – Trustee Turner

Trustee Turner asked if the size of the pumping station is about the size of the station on Deep Lake Road. Mr. Smith indicated that was correct.

Mayor Larson

Mayor Larson asked if the quality of the discharge into Sequoit Creek would be improved with the new plant or would it be the same. She asked, what is the criterion? Mr. Jim Smith said the criteria would remain the same and that either a new plant on the

existing site or a new plant at a remote site would probably have better effluent and higher quality discharge.

Comment – Trustee McCarty

Trustee McCarty asked if the goals programmed into the design of the plant is to have the plant have better than minimum standards set by the NPDS permit. Mr. Frank Tiefert said a new plant wouldn't have sand filtration, the new plant will be better than our existing plant and it would be more operable and controllable.

Comment – Trustee Turner

Trustee Turner asked what precautions would be taken during construction, such as, if there were a 100-year storm. Mr. Frank Tiefert said that in any case regarding a 100-year storm we would be careful. He said concrete equalization tanks would be replaced first and that they would sequence the construction to address potential problems.

Harold Warren – Warren Associates

Harold Warren of Warren Associates reviewed his letter dated June 6, 2005 as follows:

At this writing, may we offer congratulations to all newly elected Board members and Village personnel upon assuming your new offices. The Village is growing, challenging matters remain to be resolved all of which undoubtedly will be accomplished in this administration to the long-term benefit of the community.

The purpose of this report will be outlining a financing program for the replacement of the existing wastewater treatment plant. The existing treatment plant is not only approaching full capacity but for practical purposes, in terms of the cost of repair and maintenance, has outlived its useful life. It is no longer cost effective to pay the cost of repair and maintenance when new users, residential and commercial cannot be served and the potential for revenues decline.

Consulting engineers to the Village in their facilities plan report have outlined a program for replacement of the existing facility and have prepared a detailed estimate of cost. Overall project cost in the amount of \$15,679,000 is proposed to be paid by means of a low interest rate IEPA revolving loan. The interest of 2.50 percent presently is approximately 1 ½ to 2 percent below market rates. The Village has a record and background experience with IEPA having filed an application for loan in prior years and is encouraged to proceed with the new program.

The loan financing required may be reduced by use of certain Village funds. The Village may fund part of project cost from advance payments of connection fees and other charges to be made by developers in need of treatment facilities. It is assumed that a minimum of \$1,000,000 can be attained under this approach, which would then reduce the loan application to perhaps \$14,500,000. The estimated annual payment for loan repayment in this amount over a period of twenty years would be \$930,175.

As part of the loan application proceedings, it will be necessary to report that revenues of the sewerage system are sufficient to pay all expenses of operation, maintenance and replacement (O, M & R) and also provide the required amount to pay debt service charges. For the record, the rates and charges previously established by the Village now effective do produce the revenues adequate for sewer operating purposes and for payment of debt service on bonds. The amount required to pay the additional cost of debt service for loan repayment will require a moderate increase in sewer rates.

The present sewer service charges require a bimonthly minimum charge of \$10.00 (\$5.00 per month) toward O, M & R expense, a user rate of \$2.30 per 1,000 gallons and a debt service rate of 20 cents per 1,000 gallons, a total of \$2.50 per 1,000 gallons. In addition, a debt service charge of \$12.00 each bimonthly period, or \$72.00 per year is established (similar in a sense to a property tax that may be levied for payment of improvement bonds) and these charges are then available for bond payment.

The total of sewer revenues under these rates total approximately \$1,150,000 and sufficient in amount to pay O, M & R operating expense of \$880,000 and a debt service cost of approximately \$368,000.

In future years, operating costs of the new treatment plant are not expected to increase over present plant expense requirements. There will be no requirement for a change in O, M & R sewer rates. Only debt service revenues need to be increased to cover the difference between \$930,175 and existing debt service revenue of \$368,000, a difference of \$562,175.

To generate the additional revenue required, the following suggestions are made:

- 1. Eliminated the 4,000-gallon water allowance as provided for in payment of the minimum charges. The water allowance is no longer available in the water rate schedule and should now be eliminated in the sewer rate schedule. The allowance of 24,000 gallons per user per year is equal to 110,000,000 gallons per year when applied to approximately 4,600 users. At the rate of \$2.50 per 1,000 gallons, the additional billing for the first 4,000 gallons will produce \$253,000 in additional O, M & R revenues and \$22,000 in debt service revenues (assuming each user uses 4,000 gallons each bimonthly period). The additional O, M & R revenues of \$253,000 will supplement the \$880,000 budget previously prepared for existing facilities.*
- 2. The sewer service rate in the East area of the Village is \$2.60 per 1,000 gallons, (the County rate), and 50 cents per 1,000 gallons (the Village rate), a total of \$3.0 per 1,000 gallons. The present Village rate of \$2.50 per 1,000 gallons is 60 cents per 1,000 gallons lower than the West area of the Village. An increase in the village rate to \$3.10 per 1,000 gallons to be accomplished over a period of time will produce approximately \$240,000 additional revenue.*
- 3. To pay the remainder of funds required for loan repayment, the debt service charge needs to be increased to \$5.00 per month, or \$60 per year. The increase in rates and in the debt service charge can be spread over a three-year period as will be outlined below and in fact may be modified or adjusted as circumstances change over the term.*

These charges in the sewer rate structure will generate additional revenues as follows:

<i>Existing Debt Service Revenue</i>	<i>\$390,800</i>
--------------------------------------	------------------

Eliminate the 4,000-gallon allowance	22,000
User rate increase of 60 cents per 1,000 gallons	240,000
Debt service charge increase to \$5.00 per month	279,000
Total debt service revenue	\$931,800
Estimated loan repayment	(\$930,175)

These rate changes as suggested are of course subject to the further study of O, M & R expense, number of users, water consumption data, etc. However, as further study is made, it will be shown in feasibility schedules that revenue of the system will be available and should increase each year sufficient amounts to support the wastewater treatment plant financing.

The following outline may be followed in implementing the increase in rates over a period of time:

1. November 1, 2005 – Eliminate water allowance (this change follows the higher consumption summer water use).
2. November 1, 2005 – Increase user rate by 20 cents per 1,000 gallons.
3. May 1, 2006 – Add \$5.00 to bimonthly debt service charge.
4. November 1, 2006 – Increase user rate by 20 cents per 1,000 gallons.
5. May 1, 2007 – Add \$5.00 to bimonthly debt service charge and 20 cents per 1,000 gallons (if necessary).

The treatment plant project may begin with the filing of an application for IEPA loan without any rate change to take place until at least November 1, 2005 or later or until such time as further direction from IEPA is provided as to any ranking for loan commitment and a responses is provided as to the adequacy of the sewer user charge system.

Comment – Trustee Pierce

Trustee Pierce said that we “moderately” increased the rate a few years ago and his water and sewer bill tripled. Harold Warren said the price of water and sewer is going up all over, if you compare the rates of the Village of Antioch to other communities they’re not moderate, but then again, they’re not too high as compared to what is out there. Trustee Pierce asked why we wouldn’t wait to increase the rate until after we get the loan, why increase before? Harold Warren said that if we wait until we get the loan, then the rate increase would be done all at once. Also if you step into the rate increase over a period of time, the funds could be set aside in a construction or bond repayment account which may help in reduce the loan or to make a larger payment toward the loan. Trustee Pierce asked if there were other sources that can be used, such as increasing user fee instead of increasing water rates. Mr. Warren said that when we get into an increase of the number users and what he would like to do in this particular stage, is to show an outline to IEPA, showing the village is growing rapidly and providing additional revenue to the system so that we should be able to project a feasibility study and show what the revenue would be in the future. He said, you can build the rate to correspond with the amount of money that is going to be required in the future. Trustee Pierce asked if we could use sales tax money. Harold Warren said that you can pledge other source of revenue if you choose. Trustee Pierce said that Harold Warren mentioned removing minimum uses and he wanted to know who uses the minimum use. Harold Warren said that eliminating the 4,000-gallon allowance really doesn’t produce much revenue.

Comment – Trustee Porch

Trustee Porch questioned the difference in the amounts listed on pages 2 and 3 of his memo. Harold Warren said the amount (\$368,000) listed on page two is based on projections made two years ago and the amount on page three (\$390,000) refers to current numbers. Trustee Porch asked if there was a possibility of finding other ways to funding this project. Mr. Warren said that we still have to work out the final numbers, he believes the outline presented shows that it can be worked at a moderate rate. He said at least what we’ve outlined here is on the high side of where we are going. He said the increase in rate for the sewer usage charge is more important because of the larger amounts of water used is by manufacturing and commercial industry.

Comment – Trustee Caulfield

Trustee Caulfield discussed eliminating the 4,000-gallon allowance that increases additional revenue by \$22,000. He asked what would be the net effect on a user of 4,000-gallons of water in a billing cycle versus what it is now. Harold Warren said the net effect per user in the first year would be \$10.00 per month. Mr. Warren went on to say that it may be the operating cost of the new treatment plant with new technology we could possibly reduce the rate and shift it over to the debt service account.

Mayor Larson

Mayor Larson said this is the first opportunity that we are looking at the proposal and we certainly have options to incorporate parts and not all of proposal, making it

easier for residents to pay for this. She said that she believes the concern from the Board is that if we eliminate the 4,000-gallon allowance we're going affect to those who may be harder fit to pay this, our single seniors and smaller user. Mr. Warren responded that we have to balance the two and that we have to show the IEPA future rates. He asked the Board to understand that this is the first outline and discussion regarding this project.

Comment – Trustee Caulfield

Trustee Caulfield said that his concern is that we're starting off with a minimum \$10.00 increase per month with an additional \$5.00 for debt service in two years. He understands that we can modify this as we go along, but it appears that we're ready to knowingly collect more operation and maintenance funds than what our plans show that we're going to require. Harold Warren said that we have to balance the two. We have to show the IEPA when we apply for a grant that you have developed a rate to meet future operating costs, they would want to know what the future revenues are. Trustee Caulfield said that when we revisit this discussion he would like to discuss equalizing the east and west rates.

Comment – Trustee Pierce

Trustee Pierce said that since this committee meeting is running late, and we haven't started the regular board meeting, we should continue the discussion in committee or another committee of the whole board meeting.

Comment – Trustee McCarty

Trustee McCarty asked if the report is something for implementation for the IEPA. Harold Warren said this is the very first step when making application to the IEPA, you're not going anywhere with rate increase until you know what response you get back from IEPA.

Jim Smith – Applied Technologies

Mr. Smith said that we have time. This is a preliminary presentation, giving us some direction on where and how we could move forward.

Adjournment

Trustee Turner moved, seconded by Trustee McCarty to adjourn the committee of the whole meeting at 8:00 PM. Upon roll call, the vote was:

YES: 6: Hanson, McCarty, Caulfield, Pierce, Porch and Turner.

NO: 0.

THE MOTION CARRIED.

There was a five-minute break before beginning the regular meeting.

**VILLAGE OF ANTIOCH
BOARD OF TRUSTEES, REGULAR MEETING
Municipal Building: 874 Main Street, Antioch, IL
June 6, 2005**

CALL TO ORDER

Mayor Larson called the regular meeting of the Board of Trustees to order at 8:05 PM in the Municipal Building: 874 Main Street, Antioch, IL.

ROLL CALL

Following the Pledge of Allegiance, roll call indicated the following Trustees were present: Hanson, McCarty, Caulfield, Pierce, Porch and Turner. Also present were Mayor Larson, Attorney Magna and Clerk Rowe.

APPROVE BALANCE OF AGENDA

Trustee Pierce moved, seconded by Trustee Turner to approve the balance of the June 6, 2005 agenda as presented. Upon roll call, the vote was:

YES: 6: Hanson, McCarty, Caulfield, Pierce, Porph and Turner.

NO: 0.

THE MOTION CARRIED.

MAY 16, 2005 MINUTES

Trustee Porph moved, seconded by Trustee Turner to approve the minutes from the May 16, 2005 regular meeting as presented. Upon roll call, the vote was:

YES: 6: Hanson, McCarty, Caulfield, Pierce, Porph and Turner.

NO: 0.

THE MOTION CARRIED.

PETITIONS/BIDS

There were no petitions or bids on the agenda for the Boards consideration.

MAYOR

Appointment – Trustee McCarty moved, seconded by Trustee Turner to confirm the Mayor's appointment of Dee Dee Palmer as member to the Antioch Police & Fire Commission, replacing Ed Macek whose term expired in 2003. Dee Dee Palmer's term expires 2006.

Comment – Trustee Caulfield

Trustee Caulfield asked if a formal background is required to be appointed to this committee. He asked if it were prudent to replace a majority of the board and there should be acknowledgment made to the current board members. Mayor Larson there is no formal background required and typically we have had business people from the community who have administrative experience. Regarding replacing the majority of board in terms of experience, Mayor Larson said that the current members terms have expired and she feels the candidates that she is recommending is qualified to serve on the board. Mayor Larson said that letters have already been sent to Ed Macek and Bruce Ahlquist thanking them for their service. She said that she received a very nice letter back from Ed Macek, stating that he was delighted to serve and he would be glad to serve again in any way in the future.

Comment – Trustee Hanson

Trustee Hanson expressed his concern that this board's function is crucial and critical who makes decisions regarding our public safety. He said that he spoke to current member Bruce Ahlquist, who is a senior member, who told him that it typically takes two – three years for a member to get up to speed. He wondered if it would be more appropriate to only replace one member and keep one senior member on the board. Mayor Larson said that she is not concerned with the two members she would like to appoint, the board would have to comply with legal requirements and both candidates are bright young people who would have no problems getting up to speed.

Comment – Trustee Turner

Trustee Turner said Trustee Hanson's statement that it takes years for someone to understand the board's processes is not a fair statement. She said that everyone is different and it would depend on how much time and effort someone applies. She also said that these appointments are Mayor's prerogative.

Comment – Trustee Hanson

Trustee Hanson said that he has no problem with the candidates and is not going against the Mayor's appointment. He said that he would vote yes for both of them because he feels that he has no choice in the matter. He reiterated that this is a very serious commission.

Comment – Trustee McCarty

Both Trustee Turner and Trustee McCarty said that all of the Trustees have choices. Trustee McCarty related his experience with the Planning and Zoning Board and being appointed with Wal-Mart and Neumann Homes being before him at his first meetings. He said you come up to speed very quickly and it doesn't take much time for someone who wants to put his or her heart into the position. Trustee McCarty said both of these candidates would definitely do an exemplary job for our village.

Mayor Larson

Mayor Larson said she understood Trustee Hanson concerns and thanked him for his comments. Trustee Hanson said that he is very confident that both Kevin and Dee Dee will be excellent members.

Appointment – Motion to Approve – Trustee McCarty moved, seconded by Trustee Turner to confirm the Mayor's appointment of **Dee Dee Palmer** as **member of the Antioch Police & Fire Commission**, replacing Ed Macek whose term expired in 2003. Dee Dee Palmer's term expires 2006. Upon roll call, the vote was:

YES: 5: Hanson, McCarty, Caulfield, Pierce and Turner.

NO: 0.

ABSTAIN: 1: Porch.

THE MOTION CARRIED.

Oath of Office – Clerk Rowe administered the Oath of Office to Police & Fire Commission member Dee Dee Palmer.

Appointment – Trustee McCarty moved, seconded by Trustee Pierce to confirm the Mayor's appointment of **Kevin Schoudel** as **member of the Antioch Police & Fire Commission**, replacing Bruce Ahlquist whose term expired in 2004. Kevin Shouldel's term expires in 2007. Upon roll call, the vote was:

YES: 5: Hanson, McCarty, Caulfield, Pierce and Turner.

NO: 0.

ABSTAIN: 1: Porch.

THE MOTION CARRIED.

Re-appointment – Trustee Porch moved, seconded by Trustee Turner to confirm the Mayor's re-appointment of **Julie Kovalinka** as **member of the Antioch Park Commission**, term expires 2008. Upon roll call, the vote was:

YES: 6: Hanson, McCarty, Caulfield, Pierce, Porch and Turner.

NO: 0.

THE MOTION CARRIED.

Re-appointment – Trustee McCarty moved, seconded by Trustee Porch to confirm the Mayor's re-appointment of **Margaret Cole** as **member of the Antioch Planning and Zoning Board**, term expires 2007. Upon roll call, the vote was:

YES: 6: Hanson, McCarty, Caulfield, Pierce, Porch and Turner.

NO: 0.

THE MOTION CARRIED.

Oath of Office – Clerk Rowe administered the Oath of Office to Planning and Zoning Board member Margaret Cole.

Re-appointment – Trustee McCarty moved, seconded by Trustee Porch to confirm the Mayor's re-appointment of **Carol Keller** as **member of the Antioch Planning and Zoning Board**, term expires 2008. Upon roll call, the vote was:

YES: 6: Hanson, McCarty, Caulfield, Pierce, Porch and Turner.

NO: 0.

THE MOTION CARRIED.

CLERK

Appropriation Ordinance Public Hearing, July 18, 2005 – It was moved by Trustee Pierce, seconded by Trustee Turner to authorize publication of notice for the

public hearing regarding the Appropriation Ordinance scheduled for 7:00 PM on July 18, 2005. Upon roll call, the vote was:

YES: 6: Hanson, McCarty, Caulfield, Pierce, Porch and Turner.

NO: 0.

THE MOTION CARRIED.

AMEND AGENDA

Trustee Pierce moved, seconded by Trustee Turner to amend the agenda moving citizens wishing to address the board to this point of the meeting, since we have a full agenda. Upon roll call the vote was:

YES: 5: Hanson, McCarty, Caulfield, Pierce and Turner.

NO: 1: Porch.

THE MOTION CARRIED.

Trustee Porch said that she voted no because she felt that some of the items on the agenda may address citizens concerns.

CITIZENS WISHING TO ADDRESS THE BOARD

Angela Schneider – Angela Schneider, Woodland Drive resident, addressed the board with her opinion regarding the large balloons on display at car dealerships, signs for sports, restaurants, private enterprise, for sale signs and political signs. Ms. Schneider also expressed concerns over the condition of the corner lot across the street from village hall (she suggested planting grass there), the condition of industrial park and cars parked on lawns. She said that our village is not a ‘junky town’ and she would like property owners to clean their properties. Ms. Schneider also mentioned site difficulty when approaching Route 59 on Hillside. Mayor Larson thanked Ms. Schneider for her comments and said that some of her issues are already going into committee.

Kathy Nordmeyer – Kathy Nordmeyer, Indian Trail resident, expressed her concern regarding the school bus issue. Ms. Nordmeyer said that she has gone before the school board with no results, because her children will have to walk to school next year. She said the children living in Tiffany Farms will have to walk and she is concerned about children safety during inclement weather and possible interactions with older children who are also walking to school. She said she believes the cause for this walking policy is due to the planning and zoning board approving an “emergency exit only” street, which is now considered a road. Ms. Nordmeyer said that she called the police department and feels there should be more officers on patrol. She asked the Board for help in getting a safe environment for the children who will have to walk to school.

Patrick Corcoran – Tree Line resident, approached the board as a village employee and said that he feels that whatever he has to say won’t change anything. He said that he understands there is an executive session tonight and he wanted to know if it regarded him. Attorney Magna said that it does involve employees and we can’t publicly state that. Patrick Corcoran asked if executive session does or does not relate to him, could he be present. Attorney Magna said that he could not. Mr. Corcoran went on to say that there were things brought up against him and his personnel file and he was not able to address them at the time. He said that he would like to know why he wasn’t able to address them and he wanted to know why when he reviewed his personnel file certain items were not in his file. Mr. Corcoran said that he believes that his treatment is politically and personally motivated, and that this is not a good time for this to happen. He said if the Board will not let him stand up and speak in front of them while they talk about him, then he is sorry for them. Attorney Magna said these are difficult issues and one of the reasons that a public body will go into a closed session is to try to protect the personal privacy of the individuals being spoken about regarding their employment with the village. He said that he is trying to be delicate here because these things shouldn’t be discussed in the open meeting. He said that Mr. Corcoran could discuss it all he wants because it’s his privacy. Mr. Corcoran said that he is asking to be admitted into the closed session because it involves him. He would like to speak his mind. Attorney Magna said the Board is going to convene into a closed session at the appropriate time and he presumed that Mr. Corcoran would still be here. Attorney Magna will discuss with the Board the possibility that during a point and time during that closed session he will discuss whether or not if they wish him to come into the closed session to speak to them. Attorney Magna said that he couldn’t speak for the Board, he is only telling Mr. Corcoran that he has a suggestion, but this is a decision of the Board as the employer.

He said that Mr. Corcoran is not being told yes or no at this point. Mr. Corcoran said that he would wait.

ADMINISTRATOR

Utility Tax Update – Administrator Haley said that at the last meeting the Board asked him to research the excess utility tax and report his findings at this meeting. He said the village enacted the utility tax legislation on February 18, 2002 and the language in all three ordinances state that “the village is imposing a tax for the purpose of increasing municipal revenues in order to repay a bank loan, the proceeds of which to be used to repay the Dolly Spiering Memorial Fund.” He said that after receiving the required \$1,767,014 to repay the bank certificates, the Village repealed the utility tax ordinance, by ordinance on April 12, 2004. Subsequent to the action, the Village was informed that the municipal utility tax on telecommunications could not be arbitrarily ceased and would continue until January 1, 2005. During that time, the Village collected an additional \$780,450.88. Administrator Haley said that we have the advice from two different auditing firms and an opinion from our legal counsel that advises that the dollars that were collected to pay debt and therefore should be used to pay debt. He said the budget on the agenda tonight recognizes the utility tax money as revenue to be used to pay debt (on page 166 of the budget). Administrator Haley said that we have alternate revenue bond 2002B that assumes that \$178,925.00 of sales tax money would be used to pay the principal and interest of this bond. We also have a 1998B alternative revenue bond issue that assumes that \$268,038.00 of sewer and water usage fees would be used to pay the principle and interest. He said these two bond issues, this year, could be paid for from the excess utility tax and would leave \$313,478 that could be used to help buy down the amount needed to finance the design of the construction of new wastewater treatment plant. This way all the excess utility tax money could be used for debt as the enabling utility tax ordinances state and which is what Mr. Haley recommends doing.

Approve Lauterbach & Amen, LLP to conduct the FY 04/05 audit – Administrator Haley reviewed the engagement letter and fee schedule from Lauterbach & Amen LLP to conduct the next audit. He reported this is the same firm who conducted last year’s audit and he has been assured the audit would be completed by October 1, 2005. Trustee Porch moved, seconded by Trustee Hanson to authorize signing the engagement letter for the FY 04/05 Audit. Upon roll call, the vote was:

YES: 6: Hanson, McCarty, Caulfield, Pierce, Porch and Turner.

NO: 0.

THE MOTION CARRIED.

Wastewater Treatment Plant issues – Administrator Haley referred to the timeline previously distributed, showing we will be complete with the construction of the wastewater treatment plant and online by December 2007. He said this is a very ambitious schedule and he would continue to press this issue with all of the parties involved. Administrator Haley said that it’s important that this Board come to a decision on the alternatives that were heard tonight. He said he is not asking the Board to make that decision today, however, he will ask them to make that decision by the next meeting. He said that the consultants have told him that it is not a decision that can’t be changed down the road, but it is a decision that we need to make to let the IEPA know that we’re serious and we are unified and are going down the right path. Administrator Haley said that he would like a motion tonight to authorize Applied Technologies to finalize the facility plan to submit to NIPC and IEPA. He said this would take a couple of weeks to do and he would like to go on record as urging the Board to finalize the plan.

Applied Technologies – Trustee Pierce moved, seconded by Trustee McCarty to authorize Applied Technologies to begin the process of finalizing the plan for submission to NIPC and the IEPA.

Comment – Trustee McCarty

Trustee McCarty said we would need to know which direction we were going before finalizing the plan. Jim Smith, Applied Technologies, said they can start the process, and as Mr. Haley said, it would take two to three weeks to finalize and hopefully by the time the Board would have come to a decision.

Mayor Larson

Mayor Larson said when Mr. Smith says finalize the plan, did he mean the application process since the Board hasn't picked an alternative to go with. Jim Smith said that by submitting the proposal the Board received tonight and an application with NIPC and IEPA that begins the process.

Comment – Trustee Porch

Trustee Porch asked why we would submit all alternatives to NIPC and IEPA. Mr. Jim Smith said these agencies want to see all of the alternatives. Trustee Porch asked if we've looked at any other firms for this project. Administrator Haley said the Board approved a contract with Applied Technologies in February and spent quite a bit of money. He said we could look at other firms, but get ready to spend more money to have someone come in and do the analysis that was done by Applied Technologies. If the Board finds for some reason they don't like any of the alternatives, we could have another firm come in. Trustee Porch asked if this could be discussed further at an Engineering, Public Works and Utilities Committee meeting. Trustee Pierce will schedule a committee meeting.

Comment – Trustee Caulfield

Trustee Caulfield said that he believes the answer that would help explain the urgency a little bit and he's only putting together pieces that he heard this evening. One piece is that Trustee Pierce said earlier that it would be good for developers to get this going. The other statement he heard tonight from the presenters was that once the IEPA has the plans submitted, you kind of get a wink and nod on developing and exceeding your current PE. He said, in other words, the process has started and things can start rolling and he thinks that might lead to a shift in town. He said at some point in time there was some discussion from residents of the village, which we maybe didn't want to proceed as rapidly with development as this plan seems to aggressively lay the groundwork for. Trustee Caulfield said that is how he sees this.

Mayor Larson

Mayor Larson said that we have a fifty-year-old plant that we've been cited by the IEPA because of its ineffectiveness. She said that we need to provide the best quality that we can to our citizens, and she doesn't know that a fifty-year plant that uses dangerous chemicals does that when there's new technology out there that will make it a safer plant to operate. It would also make it environmentally a better plant and the discharge going into Sequoit Creek will be of much higher standards. She said that she is not in the business of making life easier for developers, but we need to provide service to the current people who live here and we need to do it as effectively as we can.

Comment – Trustee Pierce

Trustee Pierce said that as a follow up to Trustee Caulfield's comment, if he understands it correctly, the facilities plan currently covers the mostly developed part of Antioch. Most of the development that can take place is in an area that is east of here, and some over by Heron Harbor. The growth that can occur is north of here. He said, that yes the developers would be happy if we proceed forward with it, but it's his understanding that once we decide to proceed forward and file this with IEPA, they start ticking the clock, and the clock ticks slowly. The sooner we can start getting them to tick the clock, the sooner we can get these plans through. He believes that what Applied Technologies is asking for tonight is the permission to keep working on this plan, so once we decide what alternative we would want, they are ready to submit it. He doesn't believe we're this for development sake, whether we have development or don't have development, we need to replace the sewer plant.

Comment – Trustee Turner

Trustee Turner discussed Harold Warren's presentation earlier and the need for economic development. We need the tax base to support our village instead of going out of town. She said that we are ready to go forward and the wastewater treatment plant needs to be done. Trustee Turner said that other communities are getting very

aggressive with their economic development. She said she didn't believe we're in favor of the developers; we're in favor of paying for our own town.

Mayor Larson

Mayor Larson said this is a two and half year project, if we don't get started, it would still be two and half years no matter when we do it, so we need to begin the process.

Comment – Trustee McCarty

Trustee McCarty said that we've already put a moratorium in place for the Route 83 corridor study and we're only going to allow certain development to happen during the process of the construction of the project. He said we may be able to get some extra PE as we go along and we may not. He believes that it is going to be very limited and at the discretion of the IEPA. He said that we basically have a forced two year moratorium on the community and the sooner we get going the less crippling effect it will have on our community for our future. He is not trying to line any developer's pockets, but simply trying to keep the community moving forward in the right direction.

Comment – Trustee Caulfield

Trustee Caulfield said the majority or the impact of this project is for expansion and that we now are providing a safe sewer service and he will agree that it is being monitored by the IEPA. He would argue that that does not necessarily have all to do with the facility itself. He wanted to point out for the record that comments were made that it would be good for the developers and once we submit the plan, as we're being urged to do this evening, it kind of opens the door for additional PE.

Mayor Larson

Mayor Larson said that we've had capacity at that plant for years and that's never been a requisite to approve a development because we have plant capacity. She said approval of a development is based on the merits of the development and not our plant capacity. We can restrict approval because we do not have the capacity, but any developer who thinks that because we expand our plant they are getting a rubber stamp for approval are absolutely wrong.

Administrator Haley

Administrator Haley said that he's not asking to submit the plan to the IEPA tonight, he was simply asking for this Board to approve the consultant to continue with the updating the draft presented tonight into a document which they can approve and submit after that.

Call the Question – Trustee McCarty moved, seconded by Trustee Pierce to call the question regarding Applied Technologies to begin the process of finalizing the plan to submit to NIPC and the IEPA. Upon roll call, the vote was:

YES: 5: Hanson, McCarty, Caulfield, Pierce and Turner.

NO: 1: Porch.

THE MOTION CARRIED.

Applied Technologies – Motion to Approve - Trustee Pierce moved, seconded by Trustee McCarty to authorize Applied Technologies to begin the process of finalizing the plan, which will be submitted to village board for final approval before submission to NIPC and the IEPA.

YES: 6: Hanson, McCarty, Caulfield, Pierce, Porch and Turner.

NO: 0.

THE MOTION CARRIED.

Comment – Trustee Porch

Trustee Porch asked if being at almost capacity with PE, would put our current users at risk at all, which is the reason she asked the question earlier if IEPA would increase the PE. Mr. Jim Smith, Applied Technologies, said that if we do nothing at the plant, the plant is deteriorating. When it rains, water comes through the electrical outlets. He said that what would happen is that it would be very difficult to move the

effluent, and we would possibly be subjected to enforcement actions. He said that a “no action alternative” is not a good alternative.

Road Resurfacing Program – Administrator Haley distributed the road resurfacing program list for this year. Streets included are Lakewood Drive, Oakwood Knolls Cul-De-Sacs, Maplewood Drive, Cherri Lane, Anita Avenue, Oakwood Drive and Linden Lane. The paving will begin on August 1, 2005 and completed by November 1, 2005 with a total cost of \$637,651.00 paid through MFT funds.

Mayor Larson

Mayor Larson asked if on Lakewood and Oakwood in Oakwood Knolls if we’re going to incorporate a pedestrian area in that paving. Administrator Haley said probably not in this one because it may not be motor fuel tax eligible. Administrator Haley said that he would look into the request.

Comment – Trustee Caulfield

Trustee Caulfield said a time ago, there was a request for Bowles Road at the end of Route 59. Administrator Haley will research the question and will have a report for the board.

COMMUNICATIONS

The letter of resignation dated May 6, 2005 from Kevin Schoudel, alternate member of the Antioch Redevelopment Commission and letter of resignation from Park Board member Heidi Kufalk was noted for the record.

LEGISLATION AND HUMAN RESOURCES

Trustee Pierce stated that he had no written report.

PLANNING, ZONING AND BUILDING, SENIOR SERVICES

Proposed revision to the school impact fee regulations – Robert Silhan, Director of Planning Zoning and Building reviewed his following memo dated May 31, 2005 regarding the proposed revision to the school impact fee regulations; Sections 11-3-9 and 11-3-10 of the Village Code:

BACKGROUND – *School Districts #34 and #117 recently conducted a study with the assistance of Dr. Donald Skidmore, former Superintendent of Antioch District #34. Attached is Vicki Cullinan’s letter dated April 28, 2005 with the supporting documentation.*

I have contacted Matt Tabor, Superintendent of Emmons District #33; they will be reviewing and considering these revisions at their next regular School Board Meeting on June 27, 2005.

The PZ&B Committee reviewed and concurred with the proposal at their meeting of May 19, 2005.

RECOMMENDATION – *I recommend that the Village Board approve this matter in principle conditioned upon the receipt of an indemnification agreement from each school district, and direct the Village Attorney to prepare a draft ordinance for first reading.*

Revision to school impact fee regulations – Trustee Turner moved, seconded by Trustee McCarty to approve in principle the proposed revision to the school impact fee regulations, until we hear from Emmons School.

Comment – Trustee Caulfield

Trustee Caulfield asked how this compares to the current impact fees. Robert Silhan said the average increase is \$690.00 per dwelling unit, a 14% increase over current fees established three years ago. Trustee Caulfield asked what the status was regarding the lag fee. Attorney Magna said that both Wauconda and Island Lake have lag fee ordinances and he gave them to the school districts for their review. He said it is prudent to have the municipality deal with the upfront impact fees. Trustee Caulfield said that in his discussions with the business manager at the high school, these fees don’t reflect the impact to the school district. Attorney Magna said the Naperville formula, approved by the appellate court is the formula used. He also said that municipalities have the opportunity to drive a good hard bargain regarding impact fees when it comes to annexations and annexation agreements.

Robert Silhan

Robert Silhan said that what he suggested was that the Board approve in principle because he feels encouraged by his discussions with Matt Tabor. He also suggested that a draft ordinance could be prepared by the Village Attorney based upon

this material and like ordinances of this kind in the past that we would get an indemnification agreement from each school district. Mr. Silhan said a first reading could be done at the next Village Board meeting on June 20 without taking any action and then sees what happens with Emmons School District at their meeting on June 27.

Comment – Trustee Pierce

Trustee Pierce said that in light of what Mr. Silhan has said, he would ask that the second and first amend their motion to authorize the Village Attorney to draft the appropriate ordinances. Both Trustee Turner and Trustee McCarty agreed to amend the motion to reflect the change.

Comment – Trustee Caulfield

Trustee Caulfield said that we are knowingly setting impact fees that we know are below where they need to be and he always gets confused with that message.

Comment – Trustee Turner

Trustee Turner said the school districts determine the fee.

Mayor Larson

Mayor Larson said this increases the on average of \$690.00 per dwelling unit, a 14% increase and it's what the school is comfortable asking for. They feel the numbers are correct, they brought it before us.

Comment – Trustee McCarty

Trustee McCarty said these fees will hold true for our land that is already zoned. He said that if we have someone annexing to the village, that's the opportunity to negotiate the rates that should be incorporated in that annexation agreement. He said the School District has input into the agreement at that time.

Amended Motion regarding the proposed revision to the school impact fees – Motion to Approve – Trustee Turner moved, seconded by Trustee McCarty to approve in principle the proposed revision to the school impact fee regulations; Sections 11-3-9 and 11-3-10 of the Village Code and authorizes the Village Attorney to draft the appropriate ordinance. Upon roll call, the vote was:

YES: 5: Hanson, McCarty, Pierce, Porch and Turner.

NO: 1: Caulfield.

THE MOTION CARRIED.

Clock Tower Update, Remove from Table – Trustee Turner moved, seconded by Trustee Porch to remove the Clock Tower variation from the table for discussion. Upon roll call, the vote was:

YES: 6: Hanson, McCarty, Caulfield, Pierce, Porch and Turner.

NO: 0.

THE MOTION CARRIED.

Clock Tower – Trustee Turner reported that at the recent committee meeting, and given the corridor study, it was consensus of the committee to leave the Clock Tower variation tabled until after the study. The Clock Tower committee concurred with the recommendation to wait for the completion of the study. Mayor Larson said there were soil issues as well and that it was going to be much more expensive than initially thought.

Comment – Trustee Hanson

Trustee Hanson said that he is happy that we're waiting for completion of the study because that was one of his big concerns when we approved the site and it should be on record that is why he voted in favor of it at the time because of the plan. He said that was his concern with the plan and he is glad that the committee's hope will now fit within a plan and he thanked Trustee Turner for that.

Table Clock Tower Variation – Trustee Turner moved, seconded by Trustee Porch to table the Clock Tower variation. Upon roll call, the vote was:

YES: 6: Hanson, McCarty, Caulfield, Pierce, Porch and Turner.

NO: 0.

THE MOTION CARRIED.

ENGINEERING, PUBLIC WORKS AND UTILITIES

Other Business - Trustee Pierce said that he had no written report, but under other business he wanted to announce that Sequoit Creek clean up has been scheduled for June 11, 2005 from 8:00 AM to 1:00 PM weather permitting. Those wishing to participate should meet at the Antioch Upper Grade School and should wear clothing that can get dirty.

FINANCE, ECONOMIC AND REDEVELOPMENT

Invoices over \$10,000 – Since there were some discrepancies on the report included in the packet, a new report showing invoices over \$10,000 was distributed to the Board. Trustee Porch asked Administrator Haley to explain some of the charges listed. Administrator Haley said these numbers come off the list of payables and he can't explain the two invoices regarding Christopher Burke Engineering. He said the engineering department reviewed the invoices and both issues were contracted before the present engineer was here.

Invoices over \$10,000 – Trustee Porch moved, seconded by Trustee Pierce to approve the invoices over \$10,000 in the amount of \$351,459.26 and dated 06/06/05. These invoices include payments to Blue Cross/Blue Shield in the amount of \$61,477.88 for employee health insurance; ComEd in the amount of \$12,355.97 for street lights; ComEd in the amount of \$10,581.47 for Depot pumping; Neumann Homes in the \$146,277.40 for water system improvements reimbursement #1 – Balance; Christopher Burke Engineering in the amount of \$17,688.97 for Rte 173 study; Christopher Burke Engineering in the amount of \$17,683.84 for Oakwood Knolls/Abbey study; Equipment Management Co. in the amount of \$21,960.00 for equipment; Municipal Emergency Services in the amount of \$44,883.73 for Uniforms, SEP LINER SYSTEM; and Radi-Linc Inc. in the amount of \$18,550.00 for radio upgrades.

Comment – Trustee Pierce

Trustee Pierce said that if he remembered correctly, the Christopher Burke Engineering invoice for the Route 173 study was for the stormwater issues from Menard's and he believed there would be a reimbursement from those property owners. He suggested we contact GLP regarding the study and charges. The next Christopher Burke invoice was for the Oakwood Knolls / Abbey Study and Trustee Pierce thought the board authorized Christopher Burke to do a study 6 – 9 months ago to look at drainage issues in Oakwood Knolls. The study involved three or four specific locations and that report has not been presented to the Board. Administrator Haley asked if the Board was waiting for Christopher Burke to make a presentation to the Board and Trustee Pierce indicated that was correct.

Administrator Haley

Administrator Haley reviewed the last three invoices on the report to Equipment Management Company, Municipal Emergency Service and Radi-Linc., Inc. Administrator Haley said that fire department applied for a grant from Homeland Security in the amount of \$106,000. They were awarded a grant in the amount of \$95,472. According to the regulations of the grant, the equipment must be ordered and received before the money was disbursed. The equipment was ordered and received. All of these are on the accounts payable, but are over the \$10,000 threshold, and the grant money was deposited in the Antioch account on April 22. The difference between the purchase price of all these items and the grant money is about \$6500 split in two, equaling \$3258 from the village and the same amount from the fire department. Administrator Haley discussed the proper procedure that he discussed with Fire Chief Volling and the gentleman at the fire department who does a great job getting grants; this is not going to happen again. He said that if we're going to spend \$44,000, no matter where the money comes from, that it should be going before the board first. Administrator Haley said that this will not happen again.

Invoices over \$10,000 – Motion to Approve – Trustee Porch moved, seconded by Trustee Pierce to approve the invoices over \$10,000 in the amount of \$351,459.26 and dated 06/06/05. These invoices include payments to Blue Cross/Blue Shield in the amount of \$61,477.88 for employee health insurance; ComEd in the amount of \$12,355.97 for street lights; ComEd in the amount of \$10,581.47 for Depot pumping; Neumann Homes in the \$146,277.40 for water system improvements reimbursement #1 – Balance; Christopher Burke Engineering in the amount of \$17,688.97 for Rte 173 study; Christopher Burke Engineering in the amount of \$17,683.84 for Oakwood Knolls/Abbey study; Equipment Management Co. in the amount of \$21,960.00 for equipment; Municipal Emergency Services in the amount of \$44,883.73 for Uniforms, SEP LINER SYSTEM; and Radi-Linc Inc. in the amount of \$18,550.00 for radio upgrades. Upon roll call, the vote was:

YES: 6: Hanson, McCarty, Caulfield, Pierce, Porch and Turner.

NO: 0.

THE MOTION CARRIED.

Remaining Accounts Payable – Trustee Porch moved, seconded by Trustee Turner to authorize payment of the remaining accounts payable as prepared by village staff in the amount of \$512,304.97 and dated 6/2/05. Upon roll call, the vote was:

YES: 6: Hanson, McCarty, Caulfield, Pierce, Porch and Turner.

NO: 0.

THE MOTION CARRIED.

Manual checks written to date – Trustee Porch moved, seconded by Trustee Turner to approve the manual checks written to date in the amount of \$13,713.33 and dated June 6, 2005. Upon roll call, the vote was:

YES: 6: Hanson, McCarty, Caulfield, Pierce, Porch and Turner.

NO: 0.

THE MOTION CARRIED.

Payroll – Trustee Porch moved, seconded by Trustee Turner to approve the payroll expenses for May 20, 2005 in the amount of \$205,677.18 as prepared by village staff. Upon roll call, the vote was:

YES: 6: Hanson, McCarty, Caulfield, Pierce, Porch and Turner.

NO: 0.

THE MOTION CARRIED.

Payroll – Trustee Porch moved, seconded by Trustee Turner to approve the payroll expenses for June 3, 2005 in the amount of \$194,837.43 as prepared by village staff. Upon roll call, the vote was:

YES: 6: Hanson, McCarty, Caulfield, Pierce, Porch and Turner.

NO: 0.

THE MOTION CARRIED.

FY 05/06 Budget introduction – Trustee Porch reported that there was a committee of the whole meeting to discuss the budget and finances on May 18, 2005. Comments and revisions were noted with the finance staff making changes to reflect those comments and then distributed updated budget books to the Board.

FY 05/06 Budget – Trustee Porch moved, seconded by Trustee Turner to approve the FY 05/06 Budget as distributed.

Mayor Larson left the Board Room at 8:43 PM.

Attorney Magna said the chair has stepped away for a moment. The motion and second hasn't been acknowledged by the Chair but he felt the discussion could continue.

Mayor Larson returned to the Board Room at 8:44 PM.

Trustee Porch left the Board Room at 8:45 PM and returned at 8:47 PM.

Administrator Haley

Administrator Haley reviewed the Fund Summary portion of the Budget. He said the subtotal of the operational fund, revenues projected for this year is \$20,936,674 and expenses projected are \$22,544,726 that leaves a deficit of expenses of approximately \$1,600,000. He said we will pay the deficit from the projected fund balance of \$9,865,000. He said if we look at general fund, it's in the black, but not by much. Administrator Haley said that the finance department staff did a great job in preparing the document and he is anxiously awaiting approval so they can put the budget into the new software program so they could generate working documents for the department heads.

Comment – Trustee Porch

Trustee Porch noted there is a three-month reserve in the budget and she appreciated staff efforts for providing the document and village trustees for their input.

Comment – Trustee Caulfield

Trustee Caulfield asked if there was a report that showed employee salaries, discounting raises other than by department. Administrator Haley said there wasn't but he would be happy to get him that information. Trustee Caulfield asked if certain additional staff is in the budget. Administrator Haley responded that it is in the budget.

Comment – Trustee Pierce

Trustee Pierce wanted to take the opportunity to thank staff and Trustee Caulfield who was involved in the initial set-up of the budget process. He would also like to see a summary report showing salaries and number of vehicles.

Mayor Larson

Mayor Larson said this document of was easier for her to read.

Comment – Trustee Caulfield

Trustee Caulfield asked if Administrator Haley could highlight significant projects this budget takes into account. Administrator Haley said we're going to spend over a million dollars on Lake Street, revamping the street lights which is the same type of streetscape done on Main Street; there is a significant amount of money earmarked for the possible purchase of property for a future recreational facility; engineering funds for the wastewater treatment plant; and significant amount of money for contingencies. Mayor Larson said there are also funds for the combined village/township park.

Comment – Trustee Pierce

Trustee Pierce said that we're going to begin the process of a repayment plan for the money that was used from the water and sewer account over the last couple of years, \$1,500,000+/- . Administrator Haley said that was correct. He said it's a small payment this year. He said the revenue projections are very conservative and the expenses are very optimistic. In the future, there may more money available to make that inter-fund loan payment. He said that Trustee Pierce was correct in that the general fund owes the enterprise over a million and we're only projecting paying \$25,000 this, but hopefully that could be \$125,000 as we get toward the end of the fiscal year.

Comment – Trustee Hanson

Trustee Hanson asked if the budget allowed for the public works department to have a new building as part of the treatment plant construction. Administrator Haley said that the public works building is not included in this year's budget. Trustee Hanson asked if the Toft Street water tower is included in the budget and Administrator Haley responded that it is. Trustee Hanson asked if we're going to look at resealing the concrete sidewalks on Main Street. Administrator Haley said that he believes those kinds of things can be handled under general operational maintenance revenues.

Comment – Trustee Caulfield

Trustee Caulfield asked if the quarter million dollars that was pledged to sales tax money in the budget. Trustee Porch said that it was 25% and finance director Trisha Steele estimated that to be about \$160,000. Administrator Haley said the reserve of the

new sales tax money is in the budget and isolated for projects that are unknown at this time.

Comment – Trustee Hanson

Trustee Hanson asked if the sales tax money would be used for marketing, projects and not used for salaries. Trustee Porch said that is was a blanket policy, but the Board must approve everything spent from this source.

FY 05/06 Budget – Motion to Approve – Trustee Porch moved, seconded by Trustee Turner to approve the FY 05/06 Budget as distributed. Upon roll call, the vote was:

YES: 6: Hanson, McCarty, Caulfield, Pierce, Porch and Turner.

NO: 0.

THE MOTION CARRIED.

May 31, 2005 Treasurer’s Report – Trustee Porch reviewed the informational treasurer’s report for the month ending May 31, 2005 with an ending balance of \$8,735,323.71 in the governmental fund and the enterprise fund’s ending balance of \$1,688,013.09.

Other Business – Trustee Porch said that under finance normally we authorize payment of invoices over \$10,000 separately and then approve the remaining accounts payable. On future agendas, the invoices over \$10,000 report will be an informational report since there is approval of these invoices within the accounts payable report.

Trustee Porch said the Arts and Crafts Fair will be held on June 18 and 19 and Friday Fest will begin on the last Friday in June.

PUBLIC SAFETY, PARKS AND LICENSE

Knights of Columbus – Trustee McCarty moved, seconded by Trustee Hanson to authorize Knights of Columbus to conduct their annual Tootsie Roll fundraiser being held on September 16 & 17, 2005. Upon roll call, the vote was:

YES: 6: Hanson, McCarty, Caulfield, Pierce, Porch and Turner.

NO: 0.

THE MOTION CARRIED.

Block Party request – Woodland Ridge subdivision – Trustee McCarty moved, seconded by Trustee Hanson to ratify from the May 16, 2005 meeting, the block party request from Amy Wiatt, Woodland Ridge subdivision. The block party was held on June 4, 2005. Upon roll call, the vote was:

YES: 6: Hanson, McCarty, Caulfield, Pierce, Porch and Turner.

NO: 0.

THE MOTION CARRIED.

DELINQUENT ESCROW ACCOUNTS

Trustee Hanson stated that he had no report.

ATTORNEY

Attorney Magna said that he has three ordinances for first reading and he asked if they would like the subtenant version of the reading or a word for word recital on each. He said that any Trustee who wishes to have the complete ordinance read, he would be happy to do so.

Ordinance No. 05-06-06: Ordinance amending Section 1-4-5 A of Chapter 4 – Revising standing committees of the Village Board – Trustee Pierce moved, seconded by Trustee Hanson to waive the first and second reading and to approve and publish in pamphlet form **Ordinance No. 05-06-06**, entitled, **AN ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTION 1-4-5 A OF CHAPTER 4 OF TITLE 1 OF THE ANTIOCH VILLAGE CODE REVISING THE STANDING COMMITTEES OF THE VILLAGE BOARD**. Upon roll call, the vote was:

YES: 6: Hanson, McCarty, Caulfield, Pierce, Porch and Turner.

NO: 0.

THE MOTION CARRIED.

Ordinance No. 05-06-07: Extending Moratorium for Downtown Area and Illinois Route 83 Corridor – Trustee Hanson moved, seconded by Trustee Pierce to waive the first and second reading and to approve and publish in pamphlet form **Ordinance No. 05-06-07**, entitled, **AN ORDINANCE EXTENDING A MORATORIUM BY AMENDING ORDINANCE NUMBER 03-08-35 BEING “AN ORDINANCE INSTITUTING A PLANNING PERIOD AND MORATORIUM FOR THE DOWNTOWN AREA AND ILLINOIS ROUTE 83 CORRIDOR”**.

Comment – Trustee Pierce

Trustee Pierce said that this would extend and be in full force and effect until December 19, 2005. Attorney Magna said that if the Board should have any reason to reconsider it and shorten it, you can always do that by subsequent ordinance.

Comment – Trustee Hanson

Trustee Hanson asked if the Moratorium could be extended. Attorney Magna said moratoria are not favored in law but they are recognized. He said as long as there's good faith progress being made in the reason for this moratorium, you can extend and enforce that extension, but you would have to show progress.

Ordinance No. 05-06-07: Extending Moratorium for Downtown Area and Illinois Route 83 Corridor – Motion to Approve – Trustee Hanson moved, seconded by Trustee Pierce to waive the first and second reading and to approve and publish in pamphlet form **Ordinance No. 05-06-07**, entitled, **AN ORDINANCE EXTENDING A MORATORIUM BY AMENDING ORDINANCE NUMBER 03-08-35 BEING “AN ORDINANCE INSTITUTING A PLANNING PERIOD AND MORATORIUM FOR THE DOWNTOWN AREA AND ILLINOIS ROUTE 83 CORRIDOR.”** Upon roll call, the vote was:

YES: 6: Hanson, McCarty, Caulfield, Pierce, Porch and Turner.

NO: 0.

THE MOTION CARRIED.

Attorney Magna said the following ordinance is an annual mandate from the State of Illinois.

Ordinance No. 05-06-08: Ascertain the Prevailing Rate of Wages – Trustee Porch moved, seconded by Trustee Turner to waive the first and second reading and to approve and publish in pamphlet form **Ordinance No. 05-06-08**, entitled, **AN ORDINANCE ASCERTAINING THE PREVAILING RATE OF WAGES FOR LABORERS, WORKMEN AND MECHANICS EMPLOYED IN PUBLIC WORKS OF THIS PUBLIC BODY**. Upon roll call, the vote was:

YES: 6: Hanson, McCarty, Caulfield, Pierce, Porch and Turner.

NO: 0.

THE MOTION CARRIED.

Attorney Magna said we are going to need an executive session to discuss personnel, the employment or compensation for disciplining of specific employees of a municipality; pending or probable litigation and also for the purpose of discussing the purchase of real property.

Executive Session - Trustee Porch moved, seconded by Trustee McCarty for the Mayor and Board of Trustees to go into executive session to discuss personnel, pending or probable litigation and purchase of real property at 10:05 PM. Upon roll call, the vote was:

YES: 6: Hanson, McCarty, Caulfield, Pierce, Porch and Turner.

NO: 0.

THE MOTION CARRIED.

FOP Update – Before the Board went into closed session, Attorney Magna said that we have to set the next FOP bargaining session. Attorney Magna will contact Administrator Haley and Trustee Caulfield in the next few days to schedule meeting dates for the next session.

Executive Session Return – Trustee Turner moved, seconded by Trustee McCarty for the Mayor and Board of Trustees to return from executive session to the open meeting at 11:10 PM with **no action taken**. Upon roll call, the vote was:

YES: 6: Hanson, McCarty, Caulfield, Pierce, Porch and Turner.

NO: 0.

THE MOTION CARRIED.

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further discussion, it was moved by Trustee McCarty, seconded by Trustee Pierce to adjourn the regular meeting of the Board of Trustees at 11:11 PM.

Respectfully submitted,

Candi L. Rowe RMC, CMC
Village Clerk